

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,848	11/13/2003	Tomomi Sano	50395-235	4898
75	90 11/29/2004	•	EXAMINER	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			SPECTOR, DAVID N	
600 13th Street, Washington, D	N.W. C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, ,			
	10/705,848	SANO ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David N. Spector	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	988			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a) In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 i	November 2003 and 11 Ma	arch_2004.				
	is action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims			<i>'</i>			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11,13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination The Section 11.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in a conty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040311.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-18 ETAILED ACTION.	52)			

DETAILED ACTION - NONFINAL REJECTION

Claims - Objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to for improperly using a definite article (*i.e.* the) in connection with the first-mention of "fixing portion", in the third line of claim 1. This objection could be overcome by replacing said definite article with its indefinite form.

Claims 8-11 are objected to because the patentability of a claim to a device is not dependent on the method (e.g. laser welding) employed to make said device. This objection could be overcome by amending claims 8-11 to depend from independent claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Houle (U.S. Patent No. 4,381,884).

In regard to claim 1 Houle discloses a fixing member 42 (e.g. the so-called "sphere-like element" included in the adjustable holder 10 of Houle) for fixing an optical element 18 to a substrate (e.g. although not expressly articulated in Houle, the adjustable holder 10 disclosed therein is inherently designed to be mounted or otherwise disposed upon a flat supporting substrate, as evidenced by the flat-bottom wall 26 of the base 12 of the holder 10 shown in FIG. 1) said fixing member 42 holding the optical element 18 therein and the bottom surface 52 of said fixing member 42 being spherical so as to touch the fixing portion 12 (e.g. the so-called base) of said substrate at the edge of an opening 38 of said fixing portion 12 (col. 3, ln. 26-64; FIG. 1). Claim 1 is therefore anticipated by Houle.

<u>In regard to claim 2</u> Houle discloses a fixing member **42** according to claim 1 from which claim 2 depends; wherein said fixing member **42** is made of metal (col. 3, ln. 47-49). Claim 2 is therefore anticipated by Houle.

Art Unit: 2873

In regard to claim 3 Houle discloses an optical device 10 having a substrate (e.g. although not expressly articulated in Houle, the adjustable holder 10 disclosed therein is inherently designed to be mounted or otherwise disposed upon a flat supporting substrate, as evidenced by the flat-bottom wall 26 of the base 12 of the holder 10 shown in FIG. 1) and an optical element 18 fixed to said substrate with a fixing member 42, said fixing member 42 holding the optical element 18 therein and the bottom surface 52 of said fixing member 42 being spherical to touch a fixing portion 12 (e.g. the so-called base) of said substrate at the edge of an opening 38 of said fixing portion 12 (col. 3, ln. 26-64; FIG. 1). Claim 3 is therefore anticipated by Houle.

In regard to claim 12 Houle discloses, by example, a method for making an optical device 10 in which an optical element 18 is fixed to a substrate with a fixing member 42 comprising: preparing a substrate (e.g. although not expressly articulated in Houle, the adjustable holder 10 disclosed therein is inherently designed to be mounted or otherwise disposed upon a flat supporting substrate, as evidenced by the flat-bottom wall 26 of the base 12 of the holder 10 shown in FIG. 1) having an opening in a fixing portion 12 (e.g. the so-called base) thereof, and a fixing member 42 holding the optical element 18 therein, the bottom surface 52 of said fixing member 42 being spherical (col. 3, In. 26-64; FIG. 1); adjusting a direction of said fixing member 42 while the spherical bottom surface of said bottom surface 52 is in contact with the edge of the 38 of said fixing portion 12 (col. 4, In. 26-47; FIG. 7) of the substrate; and fixing said fixing member 42 to said fixing portion 12 (col. 4, In. 47-64; FIG. 5-6). Claim 12 is therefore anticipated by Houle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Remy De Graffenried et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,554,244).

<u>In regard to claim 1</u> Remy De Graffenried et al. discloses a fixing member (col. 8, In. 54-65, col. 9, In. 41-50; **FIG. 1**, ref. **3**, **FIG. 2**, ref. **20**) for fixing an optical element **28** to a substrate **2**, said

fixing member 20 holding the optical element 28 therein and the bottom surface 21 of said fixing member 20 being spherical (col. 3, In. 36-47) so as to touch the fixing portion 8 (e.g. the fixing member of Remy De Graffenried et al. is a column of solidified solder) of said substrate 2 at the edge of an opening of said fixing portion 8 (e.g. the opening of Remy De Graffenried et al. is defined by the peripheral opening of the depression formed at the top of said solder column). Claim 1 is therefore anticipated by Remy De Graffenried et al.

<u>In regard to claim 2</u> Remy De Graffenried et al. discloses a fixing member **20** according to claim 1 from which claim 2 depends; wherein said fixing member **20** is made of metal (col. 2, ln. 17-19). Claim 2 is therefore anticipated by Remy De Graffenried et al.

In regard to claim 3 Remy De Graffenried et al. discloses an optical device (col. 8, In. 54-65, col. 9, In. 41-50; FIG. 1, ref. 3, FIG. 2, ref. 20) having a substrate 2 and an optical element 28 fixed to said substrate 2 with a fixing member 20, said fixing member 20 holding the optical element 28 therein and the bottom surface 21 of said fixing member 20 being spherical (col. 3, In. 36-47) to touch a fixing portion 8 of said substrate 2 at the edge of an opening of said fixing portion 8 (e.g. the fixing member of Remy De Graffenried et al. is a column of solidified solder and the opening therein is defined by the peripheral opening of the depression formed at the top of said solder column). Claim 3 is therefore anticipated by Remy De Graffenried et al.

In regard to claim 4 Remy De Graffenried et al. discloses a fixing member 42 according to claim 3 from which claim 4 depends; wherein said fixing portion 8 is a cylindrical pedestal fixed to the substrate 2 (e.g. the fixing member of Remy De Graffenried et al. is a column of solidified solder which clearly forms a pedestal). Claim 4 is therefore anticipated by Remy De Graffenried et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5-7 and 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other Remarks/Information

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications

Art Unit: 2873

is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any other inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Spector whose telephone number is (571) 272-2338. The examiner can normally be reached at this number Monday through Friday between 6:00 AM and 2:30 PM. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

November 16, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER